R. A. Speciale

Research & Development Inc, USA

Abstract—Recent fundamental results [1] in the theory of linear, multi-port networks enable cost-effective, higher-reliability designs for electronically-steered phased arrays. The referenced paper documents and proves that, by including a properly designed beam-forming network, it becomes possible to feed an array and steer its beam, using a much reduced number of expensive and critical phase- and amplitude-controlled sources, while at the same time completely eliminating the adverse effects of element coupling. Those new results are based on a generalization of the classical concepts of *scalar* image impedance, and of *scalar* image-transfer function for two-port networks, to the new concepts of multidimensional image-impedance *matrix*, and of multidimensional image-transfer function *matrix* for linear multi-port networks.

1. The Price of Performance

Electronically-steered phased arrays provide unsurpassed agility and high angular resolution in beam-pointing, and the capability of adaptive, multifunction performance. Such highly desirable features are however only attained at the price of high cost, extreme complexity, and limited reliability. Indeed, electronically-steered phased arrays are almost always designed as active-aperture system, that include a large number of semiconductor devices and beamsteering control-elements, embedded in the physical array structure, and closely connected with all the array radiating elements. The phased arrays used in radar systems use transmit/receive modules (T/R), essentially tiny radar, each nested behind a radiating element, in a half-wavelength square section of the total array aperture. Because of the well-known low power-efficiency of semiconductors, a large heat-flux is developed locally, thus generating a complex cooling problem. Finally, notwithstanding technology advances the semiconductor devices and beam-steering control-elements still are the most expensive components of electronically-steered phased array, and cost-effective designs would only be attained by reducing their total number. Those cost and reliability advantages are however only attainable if the structure of the beam-forming network used establishes a pattern of *synergistic connectivity*, where *each* controlled source simultaneously feeds *all* the array elements, and *each* array element is simultaneously feed by *all* the sources (Figures 1 and 2).



Synergistic Connectivity

Figure 1: A clustered phased array providing synergistic connectivity.



Figure 2: The aperture field is a superposition of

2. Non-symmetric Beam-forming Network

Such cost and complexity reductions could only be feasible by including a non-symmetric, multiport beamforming network between the reduced number of active devices, and the much larger number of array radiating elements. Such beam-forming network would necessarily be non-symmetric, because of including an *n*-port interface on the side of the active devices, and an *N*-port interface on the side of the array radiating elements, with n < N (Figures 3 and 4). The use of a reduced number of beam-steering control-elements appears possible, by considering that current active apertures have the capability of creating a very large number of completely

components.

superfluous aperture distributions, that do not generate any practical radiation pattern. Also, the angular resolution of beam-steering could be without penalty reduced, by steering the beam in increments being only a fraction of the $-3 \, dB$ beam-width.





Figure 3: Unconditional, bilateral image-impedance match: forward-wave, *n*-phase excitation, with arbitrary wave amplitudes and phases.

Figure 4: Unconditional, bilateral image-impedance match: backward-wave, *N*-phase excitation, with arbitrary wave amplitudes and phases.

3. Recent Theoretical Results

The referenced, recent fundamental results [1] in the theory of multi-port networks have been attained by introducing a generalization of the classical concept of scalar image-impedance of two-port networks, to that of image-impedance matrices for multiport networks. Similarly, the classical concept of scalar image-transfer function of two-port networks, has been generalized to that of image-transfer function matrices for multiport networks. These generalizations have made possible the design of non-symmetric beam-forming networks, that are simultaneously impedance-matched to the external environment at both interfaces, while having prescribed two-way transfer functions between two interfaces with different number of ports (n < N).

4. Image Impedance Matrices

The first fundamental new result expresses the $n \times n$ image-impedance matrix Z_{I1} for the *n*-port interface-1, and the $N \times N$ image-impedance matrix Z_{I2} for the *N* -port interface-2, as functions of the four different-size blocks Z_i of the $(n + N) \times (n + N)$ impedance matrix of a non-symmetric, multi-port network:

$$Z_{I1} = (I_n - Z_2 \cdot Z_4^{-1} \cdot Z_3 \cdot Z_1^{-1})^{1/2} \cdot Z_1 = (I_n - P_n)^{1/2} \cdot Z_1$$
(1)

$$Z_{I2} = (I_N - Z_3 \cdot Z_1^{-1} \cdot Z_2 \cdot Z_4^{-1})^{1/2} \cdot Z_4 = (I_N - P_N)^{1/2} \cdot Z_4$$
(2)

where the $n \times n$ matrix product P_n , and the $N \times N$ matrix product P_N are given by:

$$P_n = M_n \cdot M_N = Z_2 \cdot Z_4^{-1} \cdot Z_3 \cdot Z_1^{-1} = M_{Pn} \cdot \Lambda_{Pn} \cdot M_{Pn}^{-1}$$
(3)

$$P_N = M_N \cdot M_n = Z_3 \cdot Z_1^{-1} \cdot Z_2 \cdot Z_4^{-1} = M_{PN} \cdot \Lambda_{PN} \cdot M_{PN}^{-1}$$
(4)

The partial matrix-products M_n and M_N in the expressions Eqs. (3) and (4) are defined as:

$$M_n = Z_2 \cdot Z_4^{-1} \tag{5}$$

$$M_N = Z_3 \cdot Z_1^{-1} \tag{6}$$

and the matrix products \boldsymbol{P}_n , and \boldsymbol{P}_N are mutually related by the expression:

$$P_N \cdot (M_N \cdot M_{Pn}) = M_N \cdot (M_n \cdot M_N) \cdot M_{Pn} = M_N \cdot P_n \cdot M_{Pn} = (M_N \cdot M_{Pn}) \cdot \Lambda_{Pn}$$
(7)

By connecting external load-networks with internal impedance matrices $Z_{L1} = Z_{I1}$ and $Z_{L2} = Z_{I2}$ to the two interfaces, the two image-impedance matrices will transform to each other through the non-symmetric

network:

$$Z_{I1} = Z_1 - Z_2 \cdot (Z_4 + Z_{I2})^{-1} \cdot Z_3 \tag{8}$$

$$Z_{I2} = Z_4 - Z_3 \cdot (Z_1 + Z_{I1})^{-1} \cdot Z_2 \tag{9}$$

5. The Block-traceless Scattering Matrix

Because of the bilateral impedance match so attained, the $(n + N) \times (n + N)$ scattering matrix S of the nonsymmetric network becomes *block-traceless*, with only the two rectangular blocks S_2 and S_3 being non-zero:

$$S = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & S_2 \\ S_3 & 0 \end{vmatrix} \tag{10}$$

$$S_2 = Z_2 \cdot Z_4^{-1} \cdot \left[I_N + (I_N - Z_3 \cdot Z_1^{-1} \cdot Z_2 \cdot Z_4^{-1})^{1/2} \right]^{-1}$$
(11)

$$S_3 = Z_3 \cdot Z_1^{-1} \cdot \left[I_n + (I_n - Z_2 \cdot Z_4^{-1} \cdot Z_3 \cdot Z_1^{-1})^{1/2} \right]^{-1}$$
(12)

6. Modal and Spectral Analysis

Two other fundamental new results express the modal matrix M_S , and the spectral matrix Λ_S of the *autonormalized* (normalized to the matrices Z_{I1} and Z_{I2}), *block-traceless* $(n + N) \times (n + N)$ scattering matrix S as:

$$M_S = \begin{vmatrix} M_1 & M_2 \\ M_3 & M_4 \end{vmatrix} \tag{13}$$

$$\Lambda_S = \begin{vmatrix} \Lambda_1 & 0\\ 0 & \Lambda_4 \end{vmatrix} \tag{14}$$

The modal matrix M_S has two square diagonal blocks M_1 of size $n \times n$, and M_4 of size $N \times N$, and two rectangular blocks M_2 of size $n \times N$, and M_3 of size $N \times n$, while the blocks Λ_1 and Λ_2 are $n \times n$, and $N \times N$:

$$M_1 = M_{Pn} \tag{15}$$

$$M_2 = -P_n^{-1/2} \cdot Z_2 \cdot Z_4^{-1} \cdot M_{PN} \tag{16}$$

$$M_3 = Z_3 \cdot Z_1^{-1} \cdot M_{Pn} \cdot \Lambda_{Pn}^{-1/2} \tag{17}$$

$$M_4 = M_{PN}$$
(18)

$$\Lambda_{1} = \Lambda_{Pn}^{1/2} \cdot \left[I_{n} + (I_{n} - \Lambda_{Pn})^{1/2} \right]^{-1} = Diag(e^{-\gamma_{n}})$$
(19)

$$\Lambda_4 = -\Lambda_{PN}^{1/2} \cdot \left[I_N + (I_N - \Lambda_{PN})^{1/2} \right]^{-1} = Diag(e^{-\gamma_N})$$
(20)

Most remarkably, the block Λ_4 includes N - n identically-zero eigenvalues, that correspond to the N - n identically-zero eigenvalues of the spectral matrix Λ_{PN} of the matrix P_N , while the remaining n eigenvalues are equal to those in block Λ_1 , save for a sign change. The 2n non-zero eigenvalues in the spectral matrix Λ_S , and the corresponding eigenvectors, identify the two sets of n forward, and n backward, natural transmission modes of any given non-symmetric beam-forming network, while the N - n eigenvectors, that correspond to the zero-eigenvalues in block Λ_4 , span the null-space of the $n \times N$ block S_2 , and identify the natural cut-off modes of the network. These are the N - n voltage-wave a_j vectors of the N-port interface-2, for which the received $b_i = S_2 \cdot a_j$ vectors of the n-port interface-1 are all identically zero.

7. The Required Impedance Matrix

The final referenced fundamental result expresses the two square blocks Z_1 of size $n \times n$, Z_4 of size $N \times N$, and the two rectangular blocks Z_2 of size $n \times N$, and Z_3 of size $N \times n$, as functions of the two required imageimpedance matrices Z_{I1} and Z_{I2} , and of the two required rectangular image-transfer function matrices \boldsymbol{S}_2 and \boldsymbol{S}_3 :

$$Z_1 = (I_n - S_2 \cdot S_3)^{-1} \cdot (I_n + S_2 \cdot S_3) \cdot Z_{I1}$$
(21)

$$Z_2 = 2(I_n - S_2 \cdot S_3)^{-1} \cdot S_2 \cdot Z_{I2}$$
(22)

$$Z_3 = 2(I_N - S_3 \cdot S_2)^{-1} \cdot S_3 \cdot Z_{I1}$$
(23)

$$Z_4 = (I_N - S_3 \cdot S_2)^{-1} \cdot (I_N + S_3 \cdot S_2) \cdot Z_{I2}$$
(24)

REFERENCES

- Speciale, R. A., "Advanced design of phased array beam-forming networks," *IEEE Antenna and Propaga*tion Magazine, Vol. 38, No. 4, 22–34, August 1996.
- 2. Speciale, R. A., "Synthesis of phased array aperture distributions," *unpublished monograph*, available for downloading from http://home.comcast.net/~rspeciale/.
- Speciale, R. A., "Renormalization of the scattering matrix," Proceedings of the 17th ACES Review, and also available for downloading from: http://home.comcast.net/~rspeciale/. Monterey, California, 553–561, March 19–23, 2001.
- 4. Speciale, R. A., "Suppression of reflections and cross-talk," *Proceedings of the* 17th Annual Review of Progress in Applied Computational Electromagnetics, and also available for downloading from: http://home.comcast.net/~rspeciale/. Monterey, California, 562–573, March 19–23, 2001.