

Designer Emissivities

T. A. Leskova and A. A. Maradudin
University of California, USA

The emissivity of an object is defined as the ratio of the brightness temperature $T_{B\nu}$ emitted by the object to its actual physical temperature T_{phys} , under the assumption that the object is at a constant physical temperature [1], $T_{B\nu} = e_\nu(\theta, \phi)T_{phys}$. In this equation the subscript ν refers to the polarization of the brightness temperature, while (θ, ϕ) are the polar and azimuthal angles of observation. Kirchhoffs law [2] relates the emissivity $e_\nu(\theta, \phi)$ to the reflectivity of the surface, $e_\nu(\theta, \phi) = 1 - r_\nu(\theta, \phi)$, where the reflectivity $r_\nu(\theta, \phi)$ is the fraction of the power scattered from the surface when a $\nu = p, s$ wave is incident on it from the direction (θ, ϕ) . Since the reflectivity of a surface is affected by its roughness [3], it follows that its emissivity is affected by the roughness. The question then arises, can one design a random surface that produces an emissivity with a specified wavelength dependence at a fixed angle of emission?

We investigate this question for a one-dimensional random surface defined by $x_3 = \zeta(x_1)$. The region $x_3 > \zeta(x_1)$ is vacuum, while the region $x_3 < \zeta(x_1)$ is a perfect conductor. This surface is illuminated by an s-polarized plane wave of frequency ω , whose plane of incidence is the x_1x_3 plane. We represent the surface profile function in the form $\zeta(x_1) = nb < x_1 < (n+1)b$, with $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$. Here b is a characteristic length, and the $\{d_n\}$ are independent, identically distributed random deviates. The probability density function (pdf) of d_n , $\langle \delta(\gamma - d_n) \rangle = f(\gamma)$, where the angle brackets denote an average over the ensemble of realizations of $\zeta(x_1)$, is therefore independent of n . In the Kirchhoff approximation, which we use due to its simplicity, the reflectivity is given by $r_s(\theta_0, \omega) = |F((2\omega b/c) \cos \theta_0)|^2$, where θ_0 is the polar angle of incidence, and $F(\nu) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\gamma f(\gamma) \exp(-i\nu\gamma)$. Thus, if we wish to have a particular frequency dependence of the emissivity $e_s(\theta_0, \omega)$ at an angle of emission θ_0 , we have to solve the equation $|F((2\omega b/c) \cos \theta_0)| = [1 - e_s(\theta_0, \omega)]^{1/2}$ to obtain $f(\gamma)$. This is done iteratively by the use of a modified Gerchberg-Saxton algorithm [4]. From the result a long sequence of $\{d_n\}$ is generated by the rejection method [5], from which a realization of the surface profile function is generated. To validate the approach described, the emissivity produced by the resulting surface is calculated by solving the scattering problem numerically for a particular example.

REFERENCES

1. Johnson, J. T., R. T. Shin, J. A. Kong, L. Tsang, and K. Pak, *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sensing*, Vol. 37, 8, 1999.
2. Tsang, L., J. A. Kong, and R. T. Shin, *Theory of Microwave Remote Sensing*, Wiley, New York, 1985.
3. Baylard, C., J.-J. Greffet, and A. A. Maradudin, *J. Opt. Soc. Am.*, Vol. A10, 2637, 1993.
4. Gerchberg, R. W. and W. O. Saxton, *Optik*, Vol. 35, 237, 1972.
5. Press, W. H., S. A. Teukolsky, W. T. Vetterling, and B. P. Flannery, *Numerical Recipes in Fortran, 2nd Edition*, Cambridge University Press, New York, 281–282, 1992